Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality, Spectral Theorem, Cauchy Interlacing

Ranveer* CSE, IIT Indore

1 Cauchy–Schwarz inequality

Theorem 1.1. For any two vectors $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$|u^T v| \le ||u||||v||.$$

Proof. Let $\{v_1, v_2, \dots v_n\}$ be the set of orthogonal vector $v_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$, forming a basis of \mathbb{R}^n , where $v_1 = v$ (we can always form such a set). Let us write u as a linear combination

$$u = \alpha_1 v + \alpha_2 v_2 + \ldots + \alpha_n v_n,$$

 $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}$. Then as $v_i^T v_i \ge 0$,

$$u^T u = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^2 v_i^T v_i \ge \alpha_1^2 v^T v.$$

Hence,

$$|\alpha_1| \le \sqrt{\frac{u^T u}{v^T v}}.$$

Also, $v^T u = \alpha_1 v^T v$. Thus

$$|v^T u| = |\alpha_1| v^T v \le \sqrt{\frac{u^T u}{v^T v}} v^T v = \sqrt{u^T u} \sqrt{v^T v} = ||u|||v||.$$

It completes the proof.

2 The Spectral Theorem (Orthogonal diagonalization of symmteric matrices)

Theorem 2.1. Let M be a symmetric matrix of order n. Then

$$M = VDV^T$$
, equivalently, $V^TMV = D$,

where V is a orthogonal matrix, (that is, $V^TV = VV^T = I$) with columns v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n which are the eigenvectors of M corresponding to the eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$, and $D = \operatorname{diag}(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n)$.

Proof. We prove the theorem using induction on n. The case n = 1 is true, since $M = 1M1^T$. Assume that the theorem is true when the order of matrix is n - 1.

Consider the case when the order of M is n. Using the eigenvector v_1 , let us make an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{R}^n , suppose this be $S = \{v_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$. Note that since M is symmetric, for any $i = 2, \dots, i = n$

$$(Mx_i)^T v_1 = x_i^T M^T v_1 = x_i^T M v_1 = \lambda_1 x_i^T v_1 = 0.$$
(1)

^{*}Email: ranveer@iiti.ac.in

Now, let Q be an orthogonal matrix whose columns are v_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n . Then using 1

$$Q^{T}MQ = \begin{bmatrix} - & v_1^T & - \\ - & x_2^T & - \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ - & x_n^T & - \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} | & | & \dots & | \\ \lambda_1 v_1 & M x_2 & \dots & M x_n \\ | & | & \dots & | \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \hat{M} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that \hat{M} is a symmetric matrix of order n-1. By induction hypothesis there exists an orthogonal matrix \hat{Q} such that $\hat{Q}^T \hat{M} \hat{Q} = \hat{D}$ is a diagonal matrix. Considering the matrix

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \hat{Q} \end{bmatrix},$$

we see that the product

$$P^{T}Q^{T}MQP = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \hat{Q} \end{bmatrix}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{1} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \hat{M} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \hat{Q} \end{bmatrix}$$
(2)

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \hat{D} \end{bmatrix} \tag{3}$$

is a diagonal matrix. As $P^TQ^TQP = I$, matrix QP is an orthogonal matrix. By (2) QP contains the eigenvectors of M and the corresponding eigenvalues are in the diagonal matrix P^TQ^TMQP . It completes the proof.

3 Cauchy's Interlace Theorem

Theorem 3.1. Let M be a symmetric matrix of order n, and B be its principal submatrix of order m, where, m < n. Suppose the eigenvalues of A are $\lambda_1 \ge ... \ge \lambda_n$ and the eigenvalues of B are $\beta_1 \ge ... \ge \beta_m$. Then

$$\lambda_k \ge \beta_k \ge \lambda_{k+n-m}$$
, for $k = 1, \dots, m$.

Proof. For some suitable matrices X, Z we can write

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} B & X^T \\ X & Z \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let x_1, \ldots, x_n be eigenvectors of A corresponding to the eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_n$. And let y_1, \ldots, y_n be eigenvectors of B corresponding to the eigenvalues $\beta_1 \geq \ldots \geq \beta_m$. Let us define the following two vector spaces.

1. $W = span(y_1, \ldots, y_k)$. Note that

$$\beta_k = \min_{x \in W} \frac{x^T B x}{x^T x} \tag{4}$$

2. $V = span(x_k, \ldots, x_n)$. Note that

$$\lambda_k = \max_{x \in V} \frac{x^T M x}{x^T x} \tag{5}$$

Construct a new vector space

$$\tilde{W} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} w \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^n \right\}, \ w \in W.$$

Since rank V=n-k+1, and rank $\tilde{W}=k$, there exists $\tilde{w}=\begin{bmatrix} w\\0\end{bmatrix}\in V\cap \tilde{W}$ for some $w\in W.$ Then

$$\tilde{w}^T M \tilde{w} = \begin{bmatrix} w^T & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B & X^T \\ X & Z \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} w \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = w^T B w.$$

Using (4), (5)

$$\lambda_k \ge \frac{\tilde{w}^T M \tilde{w}}{\tilde{w}^T \tilde{w}} = \frac{w^T B w}{w^T w} \ge \beta_k.$$

The proof of the other inequality is similar. Now let us define the following two vector spaces.

1. $W = span(y_k, \ldots, y_m)$. Note that

$$\beta_k = \max_{x \in W} \frac{x^T B x}{x^T x} \tag{6}$$

2. $V = span(x_1, \ldots, x_{k+n-m})$. Note that

$$\lambda_{k+n-m} = \min_{x \in V} \frac{x^T M x}{x^T x} \tag{7}$$

Construct a new vector space

$$\tilde{W} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} w \\ \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^n \right\}, \ w \in W.$$

Since rank V = k + n - m, and rank $\tilde{W} = m - k + 1$, there exists $\tilde{w} = \begin{bmatrix} w \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in V \cap \tilde{W}$ for some $w \in W$. Then as before we have $\tilde{w}^T M \tilde{w} = w^T B w$. Using (6), (7)

$$\lambda_{k+n-m} \le \frac{\tilde{w}^T M \tilde{w}}{\tilde{w}^T \tilde{w}} = \frac{w^T B w}{w^T w} \le \beta_k.$$

It completes the proof.